Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/964,474	ONO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alonzo Chambliss	2827	
All Participants:	nts:		
(1) Alonzo Chambliss.	(3)		
(2) John R. Mattingly.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 15 July 2004	Time: <u>2:30PM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview	
A-tongo hamlus			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney was called to suggest deleting "substantially from claim 12 to place the instant application in condition for allowance. The attorney gave the examiner authorization to delete "substantially from claim 12 by examiner's amendment. Therefore, a notice of allowance is forthcoming...